Affirmative Action Plan for the Recruitment, Hiring, Advancement, and Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To capture agencies' affirmative action plan for persons with disabilities (PWD) and persons with targeted disabilities (PWTD), EEOC regulations (29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(e)) and MD-715 require agencies to describe how their affirmative action plan will improve the recruitment, hiring, advancement, and retention of applicants and employees with disabilities.

Section I: Efforts to Reach Regulatory Goals

EEOC regulations (29 CFR §1614.203(d)(7)) require agencies to establish specific numerical goals for increasing the participation of persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities in the federal government

1. Using the goal of 12% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWD)

Answer No.

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWD)

Answer Yes

Table B4 shows: GS 11- SES: 100 total employees, 10 (10.0%) PWD, which is below the 12% benchmark, therefore could be viewed as a trigger.

*For GS employees, please use two clusters: GS-1 to GS-10 and GS-11 to SES, as set forth in 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(7). For all other pay plans, please use the approximate grade clusters that are above or below GS-11 Step 1 in the Washington, DC metropolitan region.

2. Using the goal of 2% as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD by grade level cluster in the permanent workforce? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Cluster GS-1 to GS-10 (PWTD)

Answer Yes

b. Cluster GS-11 to SES (PWTD)

Answer Yes

Table B4 shows: GS 1-10: three total employees self-identified as having a PWD, however, zero (0.0%) self-identified as a PWTD, which is below the 12% benchmark, therefore could be viewed as a trigger, however, with such a small cluster population, this does not represent a trigger. Table B4 shows: GS 11-SES: 10 total employees self-identified as having a PWD, however, zero (0.0%) self-identified as a PWTD, which is below the 12% benchmark, therefore could be viewed as a trigger, however, with such a small cluster population, this does not represent a trigger.

Grade Level Cluster(GS or Alternate Pay	Total	Reportable Disability		Targeted Disability	
Planb)	#	#	%	#	%
Numarical Goal		12%		2%	
Grades GS-1 to GS-10	11	3	27.27	0	0.00
Grades GS-11 to SES	100	10	10.00	0	0.00

3. Describe how the agency has communicated the numerical goals to the hiring managers and/or recruiters.

The agency has presented information on hiring goals set forth for individuals with disabilities, during EEO training, Disability Awareness Month and periodically during leadership meetings.

Section II: Model Disability Program

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(1), agencies must ensure sufficient staff, training and resources to recruit and hire persons with disabilities and persons with targeted disabilities, administer the reasonable accommodation program and special emphasis program, and oversee any other disability hiring and advancement program the agency has in place.

A. PLAN TO PROVIDE SUFFICIENT & COMPETENT STAFFING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

1. Has the agency designated sufficient qualified personnel to implement its disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to improve the staffing for the upcoming year.

Answer Yes

2. Identify all staff responsible for implementing the agency's disability employment program by the office, staff employment status, and responsible official.

D: 170 D	# of FTE	Staff By Employm	ent Status	Responsible Official
Disability Program Task	Full Time	Part Time	Collateral Duty	(Name, Title, Office Email)
Processing applications from PWD and PWTD	0	0	1	Shared service contract (BSEE) Through IAA with the Department of IVia IAA with DOI.
Architectural Barriers Act Compliance	0	0	0	
Special Emphasis Program for PWD and PWTD	0	0	1	Leslie Fisher, Former EEO Director n/a
Answering questions from the public about hiring authorities that take disability into account	1	1	0	Leslie Fisher, former EEO Director, leslie.fisher@nigc.gov Renee Fox, HR Liaison, renee.fox@nigc.gov renee.fox@nigc.gov
Processing reasonable accommodation requests from applicants and employees	1	0	0	Renee Fox HR Liaison renee.fox@nigc.gov
Section 508 Compliance	0	0	1	Jun Kim Chief Information Officer jun.kim@nigc.gov

3. Has the agency provided disability program staff with sufficient training to carry out their responsibilities during the reporting period? If "yes", describe the training that disability program staff have received. If "no", describe the training planned for the upcoming year.

Answer No

Since Executive Order 14035 was enacted, agency leadership has been reviewing staffing needs related to both EEO and DEIA requirements. Currently, the EEO Director has been sufficiently trained, but due to her role, is not allowed to conduct certain aspects of the program due to conflict of interest concerns. Once the agency's leadership has decided to allocate additional resources, those assigned to EEO/DEIA will receive Disability Program Manager (DPM) training from EEOC.

B. PLAN TO ENSURE SUFFICIENT FUNDING FOR THE DISABILITY PROGRAM

Has the agency provided sufficient funding and other resources to successfully implement the disability program during the reporting period? If "no", describe the agency's plan to ensure all aspects of the disability program have sufficient funding and other resources.

Answer Yes

Section III: Program Deficiencies In The Disability Program

Brief Description of Program Deficiency	C.2.a.6. Do the agency's training materials on its anti-harassment policy include examples of disability-based arassment? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(2)]						
Objective	Develop/procure Anti-Harassment Program training that includes information on disability harassment.						
Target Date	Oct 1, 2023						
Completion Date							
Planned Activities	Target Date Completion Date Planned Activity						
Accomplishments	Fiscal Year Accomplishment						

Brief Description of Program Deficiency D.4.a. Does the agency post its affirmative action plan on its public website? [see 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4)] If yes, please provide the internet address in the comments.
--

Brief Description of Program	E.4.b. Does the agency have a system in place to re-survey the workforce on a regular basis? [MD-715 Instructions,
Deficiency	Sec. I]

Section IV: Plan to Recruit and Hire Individuals with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(i) and (ii), agencies must establish a plan to increase the recruitment and hiring of individuals with disabilities. The questions below are designed to identify outcomes of the agency's recruitment program plan for PWD and PWTD

A. PLAN TO IDENTIFY JOB APPLICATIONS WITH DISABILITIES

1. Describe the programs and resources the agency uses to identify job applicants with disabilities, including individuals with targeted disabilities.

Over the last fiscal year, the NIGC utilized a variety of recruitment strategies designed to increase the number of qualified applicants with disabilities and applicants with targeted disabilities within the major occupations. The NIGC utilized the following resources to identify job applicants with disabilities, including targeted disabilities: - OPM's Shared Register of Candidates with Disabilities (Bender List) and the - Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP). The WRP is a recruitment and referral program that connects federal and private sector employers with college students and recent graduates.

2. Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(a)(3), describe the agency's use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A) to recruit PWD and PWTD for positions in the permanent workforce

As stated above, NIGC currently utilizes BSEE for recruitment services. However, in an effort to connect to PWD and PWTD, future vacancy announcements (FY23) will be shared with the Department of Disability Services and Workforce Recruitment Program (WRP).

When individuals apply for a position under a hiring authority that takes disability into account (e.g., Schedule A), explain how the agency (1) determines if the individual is eligible for appointment under such authority; and, (2) forwards the individual's application to the relevant hiring officials with an explanation of how and when the individual may be appointed.

To determine if an applicant is eligible under Schedule A, the BSEE reviews their application package to determine if they provided the required documentation (as described in the vacancy announcement from OPM's Disability Employment Page). The documentation is reviewed for eligibility under the hiring authority. This is applied when a candidate applies through USAJobs and/or directly to the agency. If the documentation submitted is unclear, we give tentative consideration under this hiring authority. In this case, if the individual is selected, we ask the selectee to furnish the appropriate documentation. When an applicant applies through USAJobs, we review their package for qualifications and eligibility. Those eligible and qualified under non-competitive hiring authorities are placed on a certificate of eligibles that is separate from those competitively eligible. Additionally, the HR Coordinator searches OPM's list of Persons with Disabilities (Bender) and/or any resumes we have on file. If qualified candidates are found, the resumes are forwarded to the hiring official via email for consideration.

4. Has the agency provided training to all hiring managers on the use of hiring authorities that take disability into account (e.g., Schedule A)? If "yes", describe the type(s) of training and frequency. If "no", describe the agency's plan to provide this training.

Answer No

No

The NIGC will direct all hiring managers to complete the OPM Schedule A training.

B. PLAN TO ESTABLISH CONTACTS WITH DISABILITY EMPLOYMENT ORGANIZATIONS

Describe the agency's efforts to establish and maintain contacts with organizations that assist PWD, including PWTD, in securing and maintaining employment.

The Department of Interior Bureau of Safety and Environmental Enforcement manages and operates the NIGC recruitment activities. Vacancies for open NIGC positions are posted on USAJobs and include information on reasonable accommodations and personal assistance services.

C. PROGRESSION TOWARDS GOALS (RECRUITMENT AND HIRING)

1. Using the goals of 12% for PWD and 2% for PWTD as the benchmarks, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires in the permanent workforce? If "yes", please describe the triggers below.

a. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWD)

Answer

b. New Hires for Permanent Workforce (PWTD)

Answer Yes

The NIGC made 23 new hires for the permanent workforce, and four (17.4%) self-identified as an PWD, although none on them self-identified as an PWTD.

		Reportable	Disability	Targeted Disability		
New Hires	Total	Permanent Workforce	Temporary Workforce	Permanent Workforce	Temporary Workforce	
	(#)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	
% of Total Applicants	0					
% of Qualified Applicants	0					
% of New Hires	16	12.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	

2. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the new hires for any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for MCO (PWD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for MCO (PWTD)

Answer Yes

Of the 16 permanent new hires to MCO positions, two (12.5%) staff members self-identified as having a PWD, which is higher than the qualified applicant pool. None of the new hires to MCO positions self-identified as a PWTD.

	Tatal	Reportable Disability	Targetable Disability
New Hires to Mission-Critical Occupations	Total	New Hires	New Hires
	(#)	(%)	(%)
Numerical Goal		12%	2%
0301 MISCELLANEOUS CLERK AND ASSISTANT	3	0.00	0.00

3. Using the relevant applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among the qualified internal applicants for any of the mission-critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWD)

Answer No

b. Qualified Applicants for MCO (PWTD)

Answer No

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, do triggers exist for PWD and/or PWTD among employees promoted to any of the mission- critical occupations (MCO)? If "yes", please describe the triggers below. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Promotions for MCO (PWD)

Answer No

b. Promotions for MCO (PWTD)

Answer No

Section V: Plan to Ensure Advancement Opportunities for Employees with Disabilities

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. §1614.203(d)(1)(iii), agencies are required to provide sufficient advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities. Such activities might include specialized training and mentoring programs, career development opportunities, awards programs, promotions, and similar programs that address advancement. In this section, agencies should identify, and provide data on programs designed to ensure advancement opportunities for employees with disabilities.

A. ADVANCEMENT PROGRAM PLAN

Describe the agency's plan to ensure PWD, including PWTD, have sufficient opportunities for advancement.

Employees with disabilities, as well as those with targeted disabilities (when hired) are be encouraged to take advantage of career development and advancement opportunity, to include opportunities to cross-train and/or to temporarily act in a vacated position.

B. CAREER DEVELOPMENT OPPORTUNITES

1. Please describe the career development opportunities that the agency provides to its employees.

The Commission is a very small agency with approximately 118 employees at the end of FY 2017. Therefore, all opportunities for advancement apply evenly to every employee. The NIGC Training and Employee Development Policy, adopted in July 2013 states that supervisors are responsible for identifying training and ensuring that an annual Individual Development Plan is completed for each employee on an annual basis. Employees are also responsible for self-development and completion of the Individual Development Plan. Internal applicants are encouraged to apply for all open positions. At times there are specific positions the are open to the internal population before the position is advertised to external applicants. However, there are instances where the open position is filled through a direct hire per the authority vested in the Chairman per 25 USC Section 2727.

2. In the table below, please provide the data for career development opportunities that require competition and/or supervisory recommendation/approval to participate.

Camara Davida musant	Total Par	rticipants	PWD		PWTD	
Career Development Opportunities	Applicants (#)	Selectees (#)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)	Applicants (%)	Selectees (%)
Internship Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Training Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Detail Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fellowship Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Other Career Development Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mentoring Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0
Coaching Programs	0	0	0	0	0	0

3.	Do triggers exist for PWD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The
	appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes",
	describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your
	plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

b. Selections (PWD)

Answer No

4. Do triggers exist for PWTD among the applicants and/or selectees for any of the career development programs? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for the applicants and the applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

b. Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

C. AWARDS

- 1. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for any level of the time-off awards, bonuses, or other incentives? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.
 - a. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWD)

Answer No

b. Awards, Bonuses, & Incentives (PWTD)

Answer No

Time-Off Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 hours: Awards Given	8	0.00	9.20	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Total Hours	64	0.00	73.56	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 1 - 10 Hours: Average Hours	8	0.00	9.20	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 hours: Awards Given	32	7.69	31.03	0.00	7.69
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Total Hours	512	123.08	496.55	0.00	123.08
Time-Off Awards 11 - 20 Hours: Average Hours	16	123.08	18.39	0.00	123.08
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 hours: Awards Given	16	15.38	16.09	0.00	15.38
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Total Hours	384	369.23	386.21	0.00	369.23
Time-Off Awards 21 - 30 Hours: Average Hours	24	184.62	27.59	0.00	184.62
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 31 - 40 Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Total Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Time-Off Awards 41 or more Hours: Average Hours	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Awards Given	11	15.38	9.20	0.00	15.38
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Total Amount	8250	11538.46	6896.55	0.00	11538.46
Cash Awards: \$501 - \$999: Average Amount	750	5769.23	862.07	0.00	5769.23
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Awards Given	20	15.38	19.54	0.00	15.38
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Total Amount	28000	19230.77	27586.21	0.00	19230.77
Cash Awards: \$1000 - \$1999: Average Amount	1400	9615.38	1622.71	0.00	9615.38
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Awards Given	6	0.00	4.60	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Total Amount	13000	0.00	10344.83	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$2000 - \$2999: Average Amount	2166.67	0.00	2586.21	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Cash Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$3000 - \$3999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Awards Given	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Total Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$4000 - \$4999: Average Amount	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Awards Given	9	0.00	10.34	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Total Amount	67500	0.00	77586.21	0.00	0.00
Cash Awards: \$5000 or more: Average Amount	7500	0.00	8620.69	0.00	0.00

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD and/or PWTD for quality step increases or performance- based pay increases? If "yes", please describe the trigger(s) in the text box.

a. Pay Increases (PWD)

Answer No

b. Pay Increases (PWTD)

Answer No

Other Awards	Total (#)	Reportable Disability %	Without Reportable Disability %	Targeted Disability %	Without Targeted Disability %
Total Performance Based Pay Increases Awarded	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

3. If the agency has other types of employee recognition programs, are PWD and/or PWTD recognized disproportionately less than employees without disabilities? (The appropriate benchmark is the inclusion rate.) If "yes", describe the employee recognition program and relevant data in the text box.

a. Other Types of Recognition (PWD)

Answer No

b. Other Types of Recognition (PWTD)

Answer No

D. PROMOTIONS

1. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer N/A

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

Answer N/A

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer 1	No
c. Grade GS-14		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer 1	No
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer 1	No
d. Grade GS-13		
i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer 1	No

The NIGC does not have SES employees.

ii. Internal Selections (PWD)

2. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to the senior grade levels? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

Answer No

a. SES

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
---	--------	-----

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer N/A

b. Grade GS-15

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

c. Grade GS-14

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

d. Grade GS-13

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

The NIGC does not have SES employees.

3. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWD)	Answer	N/A
b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWD)	Answer	No
c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWD)	Answer	No
d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWD)	Answer	No

The NIGC does not have SES employees.

4. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the new hires to the senior grade levels? For non-GS pay plans, please use the approximate senior grade levels. If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires to SES (PWTD)	Answer	N/A
b. New Hires to GS-15 (PWTD)	Answer	No
c. New Hires to GS-14 (PWTD)	Answer	No
d. New Hires to GS-13 (PWTD)	Answer	No

The NIGC does not have SES employees.

5. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory

positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	No
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWD)	Answer	No
ii. Internal Selections (PWD)	Answer	No

- 6. Does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the qualified internal applicants and/or selectees for promotions to supervisory positions? (The appropriate benchmarks are the relevant applicant pool for qualified internal applicants and the qualified applicant pool for selectees.) If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.
 - a. Executives

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)	Answer	No
ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)	Answer	No

b. Managers

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)Answer No

c. Supervisors

i. Qualified Internal Applicants (PWTD)

Answer No

ii. Internal Selections (PWTD)

Answer No

7. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWD)

Answer No

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWD)

Answer No

8. Using the qualified applicant pool as the benchmark, does your agency have a trigger involving PWTD among the selectees for new hires to supervisory positions? If "yes", describe the trigger(s) in the text box. Select "n/a" if the applicant data is not available for your agency, and describe your plan to provide the data in the text box.

a. New Hires for Executives (PWTD)

Answer No

b. New Hires for Managers (PWTD)

Answer

No

c. New Hires for Supervisors (PWTD)

Answer

Section VI: Plan to Improve Retention of Persons with Disabilities

To be model employer for persons with disabilities, agencies must have policies and programs in place to retain employees with disabilities. In this section, agencies should: (1) analyze workforce separation data to identify barriers retaining employees with disabilities; (2) describe efforts to ensure accessibility of technology and facilities; and (3) provide information on the reasonable accommodation program and workplace assistance services.

A. VOLUNTARY AND INVOLUNTARY SEPARATIONS

1. In this reporting period, did the agency convert all eligible Schedule A employees with a disability into the competitive service after two years of satisfactory service (5 C.F.R. § 213.3102(u)(6)(i))? If "no", please explain why the agency did not convert all eligible Schedule A employees.

Answer N/A

The agency did not have any Schedule A hires that were eligible for conversion.

2. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWD)

Answer

b.Involuntary Separations (PWD)

Answer No

No

Seperations	Total #	Reportable Disabilities %	Without Reportable Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	1	0.00	0.97
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	2	0.00	1.94
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	4	7.69	2.91
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	3	7.69	1.94
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	10	15.38	7.77

3. Using the inclusion rate as the benchmark, did the percentage of PWTD among voluntary and involuntary separations exceed that of persons without targeted disabilities? If "yes", describe the trigger below.

a. Voluntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

b.Involuntary Separations (PWTD)

Answer No

Seperations	Total #	Targeted Disabilities %	Without Targeted Disabilities %
Permanent Workforce: Reduction in Force	0	0.00	0.00
Permanent Workforce: Removal	1	0.00	0.86
Permanent Workforce: Resignation	2	0.00	1.72
Permanent Workforce: Retirement	4	0.00	3.45
Permanent Workforce: Other Separations	3	0.00	2.59
Permanent Workforce: Total Separations	10	0.00	8.62

4. If a trigger exists involving the separation rate of PWD and/or PWTD, please explain why they left the agency using exit interview results and other data sources.

N/A

B. ACCESSIBILITY OF TECHNOLOGY AND FACILITIES

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(4), federal agencies are required to inform applicants and employees of their rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 U.S.C. § 794(b), concerning the accessibility of agency technology, and the Architectural Barriers Act of 1968 (42 U.S.C. § 4151-4157), concerning the accessibility of agency facilities. In addition, agencies are required to inform individuals where to file complaints if other agencies are responsible for a violation.

1. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.nigc.gov/utility/equal-employment-opportunity https://nigc.gov/utility/accessibility-statement https://nigc.gov/utility/employment-opportunities

2. Please provide the internet address on the agency's public website for its notice explaining employees' and applicants' rights under the

Architectural Barriers Act, including a description of how to file a complaint.

https://www.nigc.gov/utility/accessibility-statement

3. Describe any programs, policies, or practices that the agency has undertaken, or plans on undertaking over the next fiscal year, designed to improve accessibility of agency facilities and/or technology.

The NAGCI will agentique to improve accessibility of agency facilities and technology will be a priority as the agency seeks to expand

C. REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION PROGRAM

Pursuant to 29 C.F.R. § 1614.203(d)(3), agencies must adopt, post on their public website, and make available to all job applicants and employees, reasonable accommodation procedures.

1. Please provide the average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations during the reporting period. (Please do not include previously approved requests with repetitive accommodations, such as interpreting services.)

The average time frame for processing initial requests for reasonable accommodations was three business days during FY 2022.

2. Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the agency's reasonable accommodation program. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests, timely providing approved accommodations, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring accommodation requests for trends.

Due to the pandemic, NIGC staff members worked primarily from homes over the past two years. There were no new request for reasonable accommodations submitted during the reporting period.

D. PERSONAL ASSISTANCE SERVICES ALLOWING EMPLOYEES TO PARTICIPATE IN THE WORKPLACE

Pursuant to 29 CFR §1614.203(d)(5), federal agencies, as an aspect of affirmative action, are required to provide personal assistance services (PAS) to employees who need them because of a targeted disability, unless doing so would impose an undue hardship on the agency.

Describe the effectiveness of the policies, procedures, or practices to implement the PAS requirement. Some examples of an effective program include timely processing requests for PAS, timely providing approved services, conducting training for managers and supervisors, and monitoring PAS requests for trends.

There were no PAS requests in FY 2022.

Section VII: EEO Complaint and Findings Data

A. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING HARASSMENT

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging harassment, as compared to the governmentwide average?

Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging harassment based on disability status result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination alleging harassment based on disability status during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A - There were no complaints filed during the reporting period.

B. EEO COMPLAINT DATA INVOLVING REASONABLE ACCOMMODATION

1. During the last fiscal year, did a higher percentage of PWD file a formal EEO complaint alleging failure to provide a reasonable

accommodation, as compared to the government-wide average?

Answer N/A

2. During the last fiscal year, did any complaints alleging failure to provide reasonable accommodation result in a finding of discrimination or a settlement agreement?

Answer N/A

3. If the agency had one or more findings of discrimination involving the failure to provide a reasonable accommodation during the last fiscal year, please describe the corrective measures taken by the agency.

N/A - There were no complaints filed during the reporting period.

Section VIII: Identification and Removal of Barriers

Element D of MD-715 requires agencies to conduct a barrier analysis when a trigger suggests that a policy, procedure, or practice may be impeding the employment opportunities of a protected EEO group.

1. Has the agency identified any barriers (policies, procedures, and/or practices) that affect employment opportunities for PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer No

2. Has the agency established a plan to correct the barrier(s) involving PWD and/or PWTD?

Answer N/A

- 3. Identify each trigger and plan to remove the barrier(s), including the identified barrier(s), objective(s), responsible official(s), planned activities, and, where applicable, accomplishments
- 4. Please explain the factor(s) that prevented the agency from timely completing any of the planned activities.

N/A

5. For the planned activities that were completed, please describe the actual impact of those activities toward eliminating the barrier(s).

N/A

6. If the planned activities did not correct the trigger(s) and/or barrier(s), please describe how the agency intends to improve the plan for the next fiscal year.

N/A