



August 16, 2021

Kerry Patterson
Procopio
525 B Street, Suite 2200
San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Review of the Loan Documents for the Tule River Indian Tribe

Dear Ms. Patterson:

This letter responds to your July 16, 2021 request on behalf of the Tule River Tribe Gaming Authority of the Tule River Indian Tribe for the Office of the General Counsel, National Indian Gaming Commission, to review certain loan documents. The request asks for my opinion as to whether the submitted loan documents are management contracts requiring the NIGC Chairman's approval pursuant to the Indian Gaming Regulatory Act. The request also asks for my opinion as to whether the loan documents violate IGRA's requirement that a tribe have the sole proprietary interest in its gaming operation.

In my review, I considered the following submissions (collectively, "the Loan Documents"):

- Credit Agreement, marked "US.132695004.06";
- Exhibits A-1 and A-2 to the Credit Agreement, marked "US.132807315.02";
- Security Agreement, marked "US.132816255.03";
- Deposit Account Control Agreement - Keybank, marked "NIGC SUBMISSION DRAFT (07/16/2021)";
- Deposit Account Control Agreement – Wells Fargo, marked "US.133423815.02";
and
- Guaranty, marked "US.132819637.04".

The Loan Documents contain terms similar to other agreements that OGC has previously reviewed and analyzed. Some of these opinion letters may be found on the NIGC's website. Applying the same analysis here, it is my opinion that, collectively, the Loan Documents are not management contracts and do not require the approval of the NIGC Chairman. It is also my opinion that they do not violate IGRA's sole proprietary interest requirement.

Kerry Patterson
Re: Review of the Loan Documents for the
Tule River Indian Tribe
August 16, 2021
Page 2 of 2

It is my understanding that the Loan Documents are represented to be in substantially final form, and any further changes will not be material to OGC's analysis. This opinion shall not apply if the Loan Documents change in any material way prior to closing or are inconsistent with assumptions made herein. Further, this opinion is limited to the Loan Documents listed above and does not include or extend to any other agreements.

Please note that it is my intent that this letter be released to the public through the NIGC's website. If you have any objection to this disclosure, please provide a written statement explaining the grounds for the objection and highlighting the information that you believe should be withheld.¹ If you object on the grounds that the information qualifies as confidential commercial information subject to withholding under Exemption Four of the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA),² please be advised that any withholding should be analyzed under the standard set forth in *Food Marketing Institute v. Argus Leader Media*.³ Any claim of confidentiality should also be supported with "a statement or certification by an officer or authorized representative of the submitter."⁴ Please submit any written objection to FOIASubmitterReply@nigc.gov **within thirty (30) days of the date of this letter**. After this time elapses, the letter will be made public and objections will no longer be considered.⁵ If you need any additional guidance regarding potential grounds for withholding, please see the United States Department of Justice's Guide to the Freedom of Information Act at <https://www.justice.gov/oip/doj-guide-freedom-information-act-0>.

If you have any questions, please contact Senior Attorney Austin Badger at (202) 632-7003.

Sincerely,



Michael Hoenig
General Counsel

¹ See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(c).

² 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(4).

³ 139 S. Ct. 2356 (2019).

⁴ See 25 C.F.R. § 517.7(d).

⁵ *Id.*