## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

**As of:** 10/27/17 11:19 AM **Received:** September 28, 2017

**Status:** Pending\_Post

Tracking No. 1k1-8yx7-44jj

Comments Due: November 13, 2017

**Submission Type:** API

Docket: NIGC FRDOC 0001

Recently Posted NIGC Rules and Notices.

Comment On: NIGC FRDOC 0001-0132

Technical Standards

**Document:** NIGC FRDOC 0001-DRAFT-0023

Comment on FR Doc # 2017-20635

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Kyle Fitzgerald

## **General Comment**

I support the proposed rule because it seems to be in favor of the consumer/individual, as laws passed in this area should be. It seems that with this law the government is giving adequate due process for individuals to voice themselves. The law seems to favor the individual because it ensures that gaming systems are up to date, preventing systematic machine error and enhancing the experience of the individual/customer.

Through ensuring the integrity and security of class II games the government is effectively protecting the customer/individual, enhancing their experience, and reducing the chance of operational failure.